

OPEN ACCESS



International Journal of
Medicine and Medical Sciences

May 2019
ISSN 2006-9723
DOI: 10.5897/IJMMS
www.academicjournals.org

 **ACADEMIC
JOURNALS**
expand your knowledge

About IJMMS

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences (IJMMS) publishes articles on the advances and perspectives in basic and applied sciences on disease diagnosis, treatment and prevention. The journal aims to provide resources to researchers and policy makers in biomedicine and related disciplines on subjects ranging from women's health, family medicine, emergency medicine, pediatrics, surgery, ophthalmology, dermatology, anaesthesia, genetics, biomedical engineering to cardiology, nephrology, orthopaedics, ophthalmology and wound management.

Open Access Policy

Open Access is a publication model that enables the dissemination of research articles to the global community without restriction through the internet. All articles published under open access can be accessed by anyone with internet connection.

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences is an Open Access journal. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published in this journal are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication without any form of restriction.

Article License

All articles published by International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences are licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#). This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited. Citation should include the article DOI. The article license is displayed on the abstract page the following statement:

This article is published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0](#) Please refer to <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for details about [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0](#)

Article Copyright

When an article is published by in the International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences, the author(s) of the article retain the copyright of article. Author(s) may republish the article as part of a book or other materials. When reusing a published article, author(s) should;

Cite the original source of the publication when reusing the article. i.e. cite that the article was originally published in the International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences. Include the article DOI

Accept that the article remains published by the International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences (except in occasion of a retraction of the article)

The article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

A copyright statement is stated in the abstract page of each article. The following statement is an example of a copyright statement on an abstract page.

Copyright ©2016 Author(s) retains the copyright of this article.

Self-Archiving Policy

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences is a RoMEO green journal. This permits authors to archive any version of their article they find most suitable, including the published version on their institutional repository and any other suitable website.

Please see <http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/search.php?issn=2006-9723>

Digital Archiving Policy

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences is committed to the long-term preservation of its content. All articles published by the journal are preserved by Portico. In addition, the journal encourages authors to archive the published version of their articles on their institutional repositories and as well as other appropriate websites.

<https://www.portico.org/publishers/ajournals/>

Metadata Harvesting

The International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences encourages metadata harvesting of all its content. The journal fully supports and implement the OAI version 2.0, which comes in a standard XML format. [See Harvesting Parameter](#)

Memberships and Standards



Academic Journals strongly supports the Open Access initiative. Abstracts and full texts of all articles published by Academic Journals are freely accessible to everyone immediately after publication.



All articles published by Academic Journals are licensed under the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](#). This permits anyone to copy, redistribute, remix, transmit and adapt the work provided the original work and source is appropriately cited.



[Crossref](#) is an association of scholarly publishers that developed Digital Object Identification (DOI) system for the unique identification published materials. Academic Journals is a member of Crossref and uses the DOI system. All articles published by Academic Journals are issued DOI.

[Similarity Check](#) powered by iThenticate is an initiative started by CrossRef to help its members actively engage in efforts to prevent scholarly and professional plagiarism. Academic Journals is a member of Similarity Check.

[CrossRef Cited-by](#) Linking (formerly Forward Linking) is a service that allows you to discover how your publications are being cited and to incorporate that information into your online publication platform. Academic Journals is a member of [CrossRef Cited-by](#).



Academic Journals is a member of the [International Digital Publishing Forum \(IDPF\)](#). The IDPF is the global trade and standards organization dedicated to the development and promotion of electronic publishing and content consumption.



[COUNTER](#) (Counting Online Usage of Networked Electronic Resources) is an international initiative serving librarians, publishers and intermediaries by setting standards that facilitate the recording and reporting of online usage statistics in a consistent, credible and compatible way. Academic Journals is a member of [COUNTER](#)



[Portico](#) is a digital preservation service provided by ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the academic community use digital technologies to preserve the scholarly record and to advance research and teaching in sustainable ways.

Academic Journals is committed to the long-term preservation of its content and uses [Portico](#)



Academic Journals provides an [OAI-PMH](#)(Open Archives Initiatives Protocol for Metadata Harvesting) interface for metadata harvesting.

Contact

Editorial Office: ijmms@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/IJMMS>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.org>

Academic Journals
73023 Victoria Island, Lagos, Nigeria
ICEA Building, 17th Floor, Kenyatta Avenue, Nairobi, Kenya

Editors

Dr. Afrozul Haq

Department of Laboratory Medicine
Sheikh Khalifa Medical City
Abu Dhabi, UAE.

Prof. Osmond Ifeanyi Onyeka

Alternative Medicine
IUCM/Global Foundation for Integrative Medicine,
USA.

Dr. Miriam McMullan

Faculty of Health and Human Sciences
University of Plymouth
Peninsula Allied Health Centre
Derriford Road Plymouth,
UK.

Dr. Sridhar Boppana

Department of Biological Sciences,
Delaware State University (DSU)
1200 N DuPont Hwy,
Dover, Delaware – 19901. USA

Dr. Sandip Shah

Human Anatomy Department
Bp Koirala Institute of Health Sciences,
Nepal

Dr. Naveen Patil

Infectious Diseases,
Arkansas Department of Health,
USA.

Dr. Kittisak Sawanyawisuth

Department of Medicine,
Faculty of Medicine,
Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen,
Thailand

Table of Content

Arousal perception/misperception and total sleep time misperception in subjects with sleep disorders

Zinobia Khan, Moses Bachan, Pahnwat Taweestdt, Manaswenne Rath, Dinesh Kumar, Robert Siegel, Stephen Lund and Jon Freeman

43

Full Length Research

Arousal perception/misperception and total sleep time misperception in subjects with sleep disorders

Zinobia Khan^{1*}, Moses Bachan¹, Pahnwat Taweest¹, Manaswenne Rath¹, Dinesh Kumar¹, Robert Siegel¹, Stephen Lund² and Jon Freeman³

¹James J. Peters VA Medical Center, 130 W. Kingsbridge Road, New York, United States.

²Sleep Disorders Institute, Manhattan, New York, United States.

³Brooklyn Strategic consulting, Brooklyn, New York, United states.

Received 11 December, 2018; Accepted 8 April, 2019

Limited studies have examined arousal perception in obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) or other sleep disorders. The aim of this study is to evaluate the factors that affect patients' arousal and total sleep time perception. This is a retrospective study of 210 subjects divided into 5 groups: Primary insomnia; upper airway resistance syndrome (UARS)/primary snoring; mild, moderate and severe OSA. Perceived arousals were compared to objectively defined arousals. The subjects' age, body mass index (BMI), total sleep time (TST), perceived TST, sleep efficiency, stage shifts, rapid eye movement (REM) and delta time were compared. UARS/primary snoring group had significantly higher perceived arousal relative to arousal events and shorter total arousal duration than in primary insomnia and all OSA groups. There was a significant linear increase in total arousals and respiratory arousal as OSA severity increased. The number of perceived arousal events was negatively correlated with perception of TST. There was a trend for the insomnia group to have more perceived non-respiratory arousals compared with all OSA groups. Although subjects with UARS/primary snoring had the lowest total arousals, they perceived the highest arousals. The non-respiratory related arousals are probably perceived differently from respiratory arousal related perception. For the insomnia group to have more arousals not driven by respiratory events suggests that insomnia may be better defined as a disorder by being driven to arousals rather than apneas, who are aroused despite being driven towards sleep.

Key words: Arousal perception, arousal misperception, insomnia, primary snoring, upper airway resistance syndrome, sleep misperception, apnea hypopnea index, sleep disorder, nocturnal polysomnography.

INTRODUCTION

Arousal misperception (as referred to misperception of sleep) is the state of wakefulness with no objective sleep disturbances (Harvey and Tang, 2012). It is defined as a perceived total sleep time (TST) that is less than 80% of objective TST demonstrated in polysomnography (PSG) (Laranjeira et al., 2018). The misperception of TST was defined as abnormal if it was 2 or more standard

deviation from the mean for each group studied (Khan et al., 2009). Misperception can also be differentiated by each sleep state such as wake after sleep onset, wake after sleep onset (WASO) or sleep onset latency (SOL) (Hermans et al., 2019).

Self-report sleep quality, which mainly depends on clinical history, may be inconsistent with objective finding

*Corresponding authors. E-mail: zkhan36@aol.com.

on PSG. Poor sleep quality measured by Pittsburgh sleep Quality Index (PSQI) has been reported as the result of sleep state misperception (Slightam et al., 2018). Sleep misperception may be related to hyperarousal which is noted by an increase in high frequency spectral content on the EEG (Maes et al., 2014; Perlis et al., 1997).

Misperception of sleep has been shown to be a common characteristics of insomnia and other psychopathologies (Takano et al., 2016; Harvey, 2012). In insomnia subjects, SOL misperception was related to sleep fragmentation (Hermans et al., 2019).

Misperceived TST defined by the difference between perceive TST and TST from NPSG evaluated by percentage perceived TST related to TST (Pinto et al., 2009). Data from Sleep Disorders Institute showed that in subjects with approximately 20% of rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, 32% with various disorders will not correctly perceive their TST. Furthermore, subjects with the greatest TST misperception had the fewest number of stages shifts on the NPSG (Khan et al., 2009). Compared to subjects with underestimation of sleep, subjects with overestimation of sleep have significant higher daytime sleepiness (Trajanovic et al., 2007). To date, limited studies have examined sleep misperception in patients with sleep disorders (Choi et al., 2016). The objective of this study is to evaluate the factors that affect subjects' perception of arousals and total sleep time in 5 groups: 1) primary insomnia, 2) upper airway resistance syndrome (UARS)/primary snoring 3) mild obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) 4) moderate OSA and 5) severe OSA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and setting

This was a retrospective study from Sleep Disorder Institute, New York. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee and institutional review board of this institution. Subjects' charts from January 2007 to June 2009 were reviewed. Perceived arousal events and duration, as documented subjectively by the patients after their PSG, were compared to objectively defined sleep arousals duration from NPSG. Perceived TST were also compared to objectively defined TST.

Participants

The inclusion criteria included adults of 18 years or older, normal percentage of REM sleep for age and gender, TST of at least 4.5 h using diagnostic single night nocturnal polysomnogram (NPSG), and insomnia subjects who had apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) <5. Exclusion criteria included patients with the diagnosis of any of the following: REM sleep behavioral disorders, narcolepsy, parasomnias, lung disease, lung surgeries (lobectomy or pneumonectomy), neuromuscular disease, seizure disorders, kyphosis, scoliosis, peripheral vascular diseases, illicit substance abuse (cocaine, heroin or marijuana), and REM altering medications including benzodiazepines and selective serotonin receptor inhibitors, active smoker, ex-smoker who quit within 6 months, subjects with OSA but with symptoms of insomnia and subjects with insomnia with symptoms of OSA. Charts were also

excluded for subjects who did not complete all questions on the Questionnaire after their NPSG (incomplete data).

Variables

Patient characteristics including age, gender, body mass index (BMI) were obtained from the electronic charts. Duration of TST, perceived TST, total arousals time including respiratory and non-respiratory related arousal, number of perceived arousal events, number of actual arousal, percentage of sleep efficacy (SE), sleep onset (SO), REM time (REMT), sleep onset REM (SOREM), delta time (N3) and stage shifts were obtained from diagnostic nocturnal PSG (NPSG). Our NPSG montage had measurements of the left and right anterior tibialis muscle electromyography (EMG) lead; two respiratory devices, one on the chest and the other on the abdomen; three chin leads; electrocardiogram and electroencephalogram electrodes. The international 10-20 system was used. E1, E2, M1, M2, F3, F4, C3, C4, O1, O2 were electrodes were used (Klem et al., 1999). Perceived arousal and perceived TST were subjectively determined just after study completion. These were compared with the objectively obtained arousals and objectively obtained TST from NPSG.

Measurement

The participants were divided into five groups:

- (1) Primary insomnia (defined as difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep or nonrestorative sleep according to DSM-IV-TR, 2000),
- (2) UARS or primary snoring,
- (3) Mild OSA (AHI more than 5 but not more than 15 events/h),
- (4) Moderate OSA (AHI more than 15 but not more than 30 events/h) and
- (5) Severe OSA (AHI more than 30 events/hour).

American Academy of Sleep Medicine (2005) criteria were used. Scoring of events was done using AASM Manuel for Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events (2007). Two experienced (>15 years of scoring) technologists scored the studies; their reliabilities for identifying arousals were 0.97 and 0.98.

Statistical analysis

The program Statistical Package for Social Sciences (version number 20) was used for data analysis. Continuous data with normal distribution were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) and median and interquartile range (IQR) for non-normal distribution. Categorical data were number (n) and percentage (%). The continuous PSG variables across all groups were analyzed using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Bonferroni corrections were used for multiple comparisons between individual groups. Pearson correlation was used to find the correlation between 5 variables (number of perceived arousal events, perceived arousals relative to NPSG arousals, stage shift, perceived TST, and perceived TST relative to TST from NPSG). P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

From 2550 participants, the final number of the participants was 210; data are shown in Table 1. Participants were divided into 5 groups based on their sleep disorder type: 1) Primary Insomnia (n = 6), 2)

Table 1. Patient characteristics and polysomnography parameters.

Parameters	Total	Insomnia	UARS	Mild OSA	Moderate OSA	Severe OSA	P-value
	N = 210	N = 6	N = 52	N = 79	N = 50	N = 23	
Age, years	45.1(18.8)	37.7(9.6)	40.0(14.5)	47.2(24.9)	45.8(12.0)	49.3(14.5)	0.13
Male, n (%)	135.0(64.3)	1.0(16.7)	31(59.6)	53(67.1)	32(64.0)	18(78.3)	0.08
BMI, kg/m ²	29.1(5.8)	25.7(4.3)	27.1(5.5)	28.9(5.0)	30.6(6.8)	31.8(5.6)	0.162
TST, minutes	369.0(37.5)	378.7(45.1)	367.7(34.5)	370.4(38.4)	374.1(36.7)	353.3(39.1)	0.238
Perceived TST, minutes	345.7(71.7)	330.0(32.9)	348.2(69.7)	348.3(73.0)	341.4(77.3)	345.0(70.8)	0.96
Total arousals	116.6(57.9)	89.0(44.2)	80.5(39.8)	104.0(46.2)	144.7(50.8)	187.9(59.0)	<0.001 ^{a, b, c}
Respiratory arousals	44.5(69.0)	14.0(7.0)	15.0(11.5)	41.0(27.0)	95.0(31.0)	139.0(43.0)	<0.001 ^{d, e}
Non-respiratory arousals	48.5(41.0)	56.5(75.0)	54.0(39.5)	50.0(43.0)	42.0(42.0)	40.0(16.0)	0.073
Perceived arousal events, n	3.0(2.0)	2.5(2)	2.5(2.5)	3.0(3.0)	3.0(2.0)	3.0(2.0)	0.872
Perceived arousal/arousal events, %	2.8(3.0)	3.9(3.8)	4.0(4.4)	3.2(2.9)	2.1(2.1)	1.4(1.7)	<0.001 ^{b, f}
SE, %	86.0(6.8)	83.5(7.9)	86.1(7.3)	87.0(5.7)	85.4(7.2)	84.2(7.9)	0.342
SO, minutes	8(9.5)	7.0(14)	8.5(11.5)	7.5(7.5)	8.0(10.0)	9.0(10.5)	0.812
SOREM, minutes	99.9(38.9)	134.3(35.0)	100.2(38.8)	98.7(40.5)	101.3(41.4)	91.5(23.6)	0.206
REM periods, n	3.9(0.9)	3.8(1.0)	4.0(1.0)	3.9(1.0)	4.1(0.8)	3.8(1.0)	0.751
REMT, minutes	80.6(16.7)	77.1(16.4)	81.5(16.2)	81.5(17.3)	80.9(16.5)	75.6(17.2)	0.605
REMT/TST, %	21.8(3.9)	20.3(2.9)	22.1(3.8)	22.0(3.9)	21.7(4.1)	21.3(4.0)	0.771
N3, minutes	35.3(51.0)	60.8(70.5)	44.3(50.5)	34.5(49.5)	30.5(44.0)	9.0(47.0)	0.119
N3/TST, %	9.7(13.4)	14.9(19.6)	12.0(13.8)	9.3(13.8)	8.6(10.7)	2.8(13.1)	0.136
N1+N2, minutes	251.3(38.8)	251.4(49.6)	244.0(32.7)	250.4(38.4)	259.5(42.7)	253.0(41.2)	0.385
Perceived TST/TST, %	94.0(18.5)	87.9(10.4)	94.9(18.4)	94.1(17.7)	91.9(21.1)	97.8(17.6)	0.666
Stage shifts	152.7(46.8)	151.2(57.9)	133.2(38.5)	141.7(40.4)	171.4(48.0)	194.3(42.1)	<0.001 ^{b, c}

BMI = Body mass index, TST = Total sleep time, SE = Sleep efficiency, SO = Sleep onset, SOREM = Sleep onset rapid eye movement, REM. = Rapid eye movement, REMT = Rapid eye movement time, N3 = Delta time. ^aInsomnia group differed significantly from severe OSA group. ^bUARS/primary snoring group differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA groups. ^cMild OSA group differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA groups. ^dInsomnia group differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA groups. ^eUARS/primary snoring group differed significantly from mild, moderate and severe OSA groups. ^fModerate OSA group differed significantly from UARS/primary snoring group.

UARS/primary snoring (n 52), 3) Mild OSA (n = 79), 4) Moderate OSA (n = 50), and 5) Severe OSA (n = 23).

Patients' characteristics and PSG parameters

When the data were analyzed across all groups, there was no statistically significant difference in age, gender, BMI, TST, perceived TST, perceived arousal events, non-respiratory related arousals, SE, SO, SOREM, REM period (number of REM events), REMT, REMT relative to TST, N3, N3 relative to TST, and perceived TST relative to TST and the sum of N1 and N2 time (Table 1). Significant differences of NPSG data were found in total arousals, respiratory related arousals, perceived arousal relative to NPSG arousal events and stage shifts ($p < 0.001$). There is a linear increase of total arousals, respiratory related arousals, stage shifts as OSA severity increase with the maximum total duration found in severe OSA. Minimum total arousals and stage shifts were found in UARS/primary snoring and minimal respiratory related arousals were found in primary insomnia. The UARS/primary snoring group had a significantly higher median of perceived arousals relative to NPSG arousal

events than in insomnia, followed by mild, moderate, and severe OSA respectively ($p < 0.001$). There was a trend for insomnia group to have more non-respiratory arousals compared with all the OSA groups and UARS/primary snoring group.

Outcomes

A Bonferroni corrections, using age and NPSG parameters (TST, SE, SO, total arousal, respiratory related arousal, non-respiratory related arousal, perceived arousal events, REM periods, REMT, SOREM, N3, N3 relative to TST, perceived TST relative to TST and perceived arousal relative to NPSG arousal events) were compared between each groups (primary insomnia, UARS/primary snoring, mild, moderate and severe OSA). Age, TST, SE, SO, non-respiratory related arousal, perceived arousal events, REM periods, REMT, SOREM, N3, N3 relative to TST, perceived TST relative to NPSG TST were not significant when compared to each group (Table 2). UARS/primary snoring group differed significantly from the moderate and severe OSA groups in terms of perceived arousal relative to NPSG arousal

Table 2. Bonferrini outcomes.

Bonferrini		P value
Total arousal		
Insomnia	Severe OSA	<0.001
UARS	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Mild OSA	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Respiratory arousal		
Insomnia	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
UARS	Mild OSA	<0.001
	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Perceived arousal relative to arousal events		
UARS	Moderate OSA	0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Moderate OSA	UARS	0.001
Stage shifts		
UARS	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Mild OSA	Moderate OSA	0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001

events ($p = 0.001$ and $p < 0.001$), total arousal ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.001$), respiratory arousal ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.001$) and stage shifts ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively).

Insomnia differed significantly from severe OSA ($p < 0.001$) in terms of total arousal. It also differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA in terms of respiratory arousal. For correlation, the number of perceived arousal events showed negative correlation with perception of TST (-0.144 , $p = 0.037$); perceived arousals relative to NPSG arousal events showed a negative correlation with stage shifts (0.749 , $p < 0.001$), and stage shifts (-0.16 , $p = 0.021$) (Table 3). There was no significant correlation between stage shift and perceived TST or perceived TST relative to NPSG TST. Since the insomnia group (group 1) only had six subjects, another analysis was done with the removal of this group. The following results were obtained (Tables 4 to 6).

The results were essentially the same as when the insomnia group was included in analysis across all the groups except in BMI. After performing multiple comparison, UARS/primary snoring group still differed significantly from mild, moderate and severe OSA groups

in terms of respiratory arousal. UARS/primary snoring group again differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA groups in terms of perceived arousal relative to arousal events. Perceived arousal events also have significant correlation with perceived TST, perceived TST relative to TST. Stage shifts remained significantly correlated with number of perceived arousal events, perceived arousal relative to arousal events but not perceived TST relative to TST.

DISCUSSION

Factors that affect a person's subjective perception of arousal are important to understand, as they have significant clinical implications in managing patients with sleep disorders. Arousal is an abrupt change in the pattern of brain wave activity which typically represents a shift from deep sleep (REM sleep), to light sleep (NREM sleep), or from sleep to wakefulness. It is crucial to understand that subjective arousal may vary among patients with different sleep disorders. This study tried to compare arousal perception among patients with primary insomnia, UARS, mild, moderate and severe OSA.

Table 3. Pearson correlation of the outcomes.

Pearsons correlation with all groups		Number of perceived arousal events	Perceived arousals relative to arousal events	Stage shifts	Perceived TST	Perceived TST relative to TST
Number of perceived arousal events	Pearson correlation	-	0.749	0.156	-0.144	-0.143
	P-value	-	<0.001	0.024	0.037	0.038
Perceived arousal relative to arousal events	Pearson correlation	0.749	-	-0.16	0.093	-0.118
	P-value	<0.001	-	0.021	0.179	0.087
Stage shifts	Pearson correlation	0.156	-0.16	-	0.037	0.047
	P-value	0.024	0.021	-	0.599	0.25
Perceived TST	Pearson correlation	-0.144	-0.093	0.037	-	0.874
	P-value	0.037	0.179	0.599	-	<0.001
Perceived TST relative to TST	Pearson correlation	-0.143	-0.118	0.047	0.874	-
	P-value	0.038	0.087	0.502	<0.001	-

The study shows four major findings among these groups. The UARS/primary snoring group differed significantly from the moderate and severe OSA groups in perceived arousals relative to arousal events. The UARS/primary snoring group perceived more arousals than the moderate and severe OSA groups. Insomnia differed significantly from severe OSA ($p < 0.001$) in terms of total arousal. It also differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA in terms of respiratory arousal. But, our sample size was very small.

Broderick et al. (2014) have also noted in their study that patients with UARS have increased somatic arousal compared to healthy controls and that these increased somatic arousals have been directly correlated to increased fatigue, decreased sleep quality and decreased cognitive function affecting physical and mental health adversely. Since somatic arousal is a component of chronic stress, their study supported the hypothesis that UARS represented a syndrome of chronic stress.

Therefore, it would be reasonable to predict that UARS patients could be subjected to more adverse health implications due to this higher perception of arousability as compared to OSA group, which has been demonstrated in our study. However, it has not been established whether increased somatic arousal “causes” the sequelae of poor sleep quality. In order to determine the implications that this can have, more studies are required to clearly establish the pathophysiology of UARS. One thing to note from our study was that we could not demonstrate whether there was a significant difference between TST and perceived TST among insomnia and OSA groups. However, there was one study by Bianchi et al. (2013) that showed that:

- (1) The insomnia group underestimated their TST substantially when compared to the OSA group (Bianchi et al., 2013).
- (2) The UARS/primary snoring group had fewer

respiratory arousals compared to the other apnea groups but more than the primary insomnia group. One study by Fietze et al. (1999) showed that detecting respiratory arousals helps in understanding the sleep architecture, provides assistance in verifying patients with mild OSA, and aids in managing their treatment (Fietze et al., 1999). Based on our study, we could invite more investigations that support counting respiratory arousals to differentiate UARS from the apneic and insomnia groups.

(3) For the total number of scored arousals, there was a linear increase in total arousals as the severity of OSA increased. The insomnia groups and UARS groups had fewer total arousals.

(4) The differences in total number of arousals were also significant.

Bradley et al. (2014) have shown that respiratory arousal threshold (ArTH) can be used as a clinical predictor for diagnosing OSA, which could eliminate invasive modalities such as the

Table 4. Patient characteristics and polysomnography parameters without group 1.

Parameters	Total	UARS	Mild OSA	Moderate OSA	Severe OSA	P-value
	N = 204	N = 52	N = 79	N = 50	N = 23	
Age, years	45.3(18.9)	40.0(14.5)	47.2(24.9)	45.8(12.0)	49.3(14.5)	0.109
Male, n (%)	134.0(65.7)	31(59.6)	53(67.1)	32(64.0)	18(78.3)	0.463
BMI, kg/m ²	29.1(5.8)	27.1(5.5)	28.9(5.0)	30.6(6.8)	31.8(5.6)	0.002 ^{a, b}
TST, minutes	368.7(37.3)	367.7(34.5)	370.4(38.4)	374.1(36.7)	353.3(39.1)	0.161
Perceived TST, minutes	346.2(72.5)	348.2(69.7)	348.3(73.0)	341.4(77.3)	345.0(70.8)	0.955
Total arousals	117.4(58.1)	80.5(39.8)	104.0(46.2)	144.7(50.8)	187.9(59.0)	<0.001 ^{c, d, e}
Respiratory arousals	46.0(70.5)	15.0(11.5)	41.0(27.0)	95.0(31.0)	139.0(43.0)	<0.001 ^{c, d, e}
Non-respiratory arousals, minutes	48.0(40.5)	54.0(39.5)	50.0(43.0)	42.0(42.0)	40.0(16.0)	0.065
Perceived arousal events, n	3.0(2.0)	2.5(2.5)	3.0(3.0)	3.0(2.0)	3.0(2.0)	0.924
Perceived arousal/arousal events, %	2.8(3.0)	4.0(4.4)	3.2(2.9)	2.1(2.1)	1.4(1.7)	<0.001 ^{f, g}
SE, %	86.1(6.8)	86.1(7.3)	87.0(5.7)	85.4(7.2)	84.2(7.9)	0.298
SO, minutes	8.3(9.5)	8.5(11.5)	7.5(7.5)	8.0(10.0)	9.0(10.5)	0.670
SOREM, minutes	98.9(38.6)	100.2(38.8)	98.7(40.5)	101.3(41.4)	91.5(23.6)	0.781
REM periods, n	3.9(0.9)	4.0(1.0)	3.9(1.0)	4.1(0.8)	3.8(1.0)	0.603
REMT, minutes	80.6(16.8)	81.5(16.2)	81.5(17.3)	80.9(16.5)	75.6(17.2)	0.484
REMT/TST, %	21.9(3.9)	22.1(3.8)	22.0(3.9)	21.7(4.1)	21.3(4.0)	0.846
N3, minutes	35.0(50.0)	44.3(50.5)	34.5(49.5)	30.5(44.0)	9.0(47.0)	0.101
N3/TST, %	9.5(13.2)	12.0(13.8)	9.3(13.8)	8.6(10.7)	2.8(13.1)	0.121
N1+N2, minutes	251.3(38.6)	244.0(32.7)	250.4(38.4)	259.5(42.7)	253.0(41.2)	0.239
Perceived TST/TST, %	94.2(18.7)	94.9(18.4)	94.1(17.7)	91.8(21.1)	97.8(17.6)	0.641
Stage shifts	152.7(46.7)	133.2(38.5)	141.7(40.4)	171.4(48.0)	194.3(42.1)	<0.001 ^{f, d}

BMI = Body mass index, TST = Total sleep time, SE = Sleep efficiency, SO = Sleep onset, SOREM = Sleep onset rapid eye movement, REM = Rapid eye movement, REMT = Rapid eye movement time, N3 = Delta time. ^a Moderate OSA group differed significantly from UARS/primary snoring group. ^b Severe OSA group differed significantly from UARS/primary snoring group. ^c UARS/primary snoring group differed significantly from mild, moderate and severe OSA groups. ^d Mild OSA group differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA groups. ^e Moderate OSA group differed significantly from severe OSA group. ^f UARS/primary snoring group differed significantly from moderate and severe OSA groups. ^g Mild OSA group differed significantly from severe OSA group.

measurement of epiglottic or esophageal pressures using catheters (Edwards et al., 2014).

Similar to the findings of the aforementioned study, our study also suggests that as OSA severity increases, there is increase in respiratory arousal. This could be explained by decrease in arousal threshold. Therefore, it is possible that ArTH can be used as a clinical marker to calculate

OSA severity. In our study, stage shifts were correlated with arousal perceptions. From our previous study with TST misperception, this correlation was also noted. N3 in our study was not different significantly among all groups. A study by Ratnavadivel et al. (2009) shown that OSA patients show marked reduction in respiratory and arousal events during slow wave

sleep compared to light NREM and REM sleep.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Our study had a few limitations. Night-to-night variability could not be accounted for in a single night study design. Similarly, there may be differing results between studies performed at the

Table 5. Bonferrini outcomes without Group 1.

Bonferrini		P value
Total arousal		
Insomnia	Severe OSA	<0.001
UARS	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Mild OSA	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Respiratory arousal		
Insomnia	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
UARS	Mild OSA	<0.001
	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Perceived arousal relative to arousal events		
UARS	Moderate OSA	0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Moderate OSA	UARS	0.001
Stage shifts		
UARS	Moderate OSA	<0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001
Mild OSA	Moderate OSA	0.001
	Severe OSA	<0.001

Table 6. Pearson correlation of the outcomes without Group 1.

Pearsons correlation with all groups		Number of perceived arousal events	Perceived arousals relative to arousal events	Stage shifts	Perceived TST	Perceived TST relative to TST
Number of perceived arousal events	Pearson correlation	-	0.749	0.156	-0.144	-0.143
	P-value	-	<0.001	0.024	0.037	0.038
Perceived arousal relative to arousal events	Pearson correlation	0.749	-	-0.16	0.093	-0.118
	P-value	<0.001	-	0.021	0.179	0.087
Stage shifts	Pearson correlation	0.156	-0.16	-	0.037	0.047
	P-value	0.024	0.021	-	0.599	0.25
Perceived TST	Pearson correlation	-0.144	-0.093	0.037	-	0.874
	P-value	0.037	0.179	0.599	-	<0.001
Perceived TST relative to TST	Pearson correlation	-0.143	-0.118	0.047	0.874	-
	P-value	0.038	0.087	0.502	<0.001	-

patient's home vs the laboratory. In addition, the subjective reporting of perceived arousals might differ

due to recall errors. Our data did not document N1 and N2 times as separate time, but we had TST, REM time,

arousal times and N3 time for each subject. We used a formula to find the sum of N1 and N2 times and found no significant difference among all group when analyzed using one-way ANOVA. Our Insomnia group only consisted of 6 patients. Finally, comorbidities such as cardiopulmonary disease, PVD, smoking history and substance abuse usage may alter arousal perception. Our patients report that no illicit substances were used before the NPSGs but drug screens were not done just prior to NPSGs.

Conclusion

Although subjects with UARS/primary snoring had fewer total arousals compared to other groups, they perceived their arousals more than subjects with insomnia, mild, moderate or severe OSA. The non-respiratory related arousals are probably perceived differently than respiratory arousal related perception. There was a trend for the insomnia group to have more arousals not driven by respiratory events suggesting that insomnia may be better defined as a disorder of being driven to arousals versus apneics, who are aroused despite being driven towards sleep. In our previous study, TST misperception was correlated with stage shifts like this study arousal perception relative to NPSG arousal events also shows a correlation to stage shifts. This brings to light an unanswered question that needs further research, if a subject with a sleep disorder has more/less stage shifts would he/she have better subjective sleep?

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- AASM Manual for scoring of sleep and associated events (2007). Rules, terminology and technical specifications, American academy of sleep medicine, Westchester, IL.
- American Academy of Sleep Medicine (2005). The international classification of sleep disorders, 2nd ed, Diagnostic and Coding manual, 2nd ed, Hauri PJ (Ed), Westchester, IL.
- Bianchi MT, Williams KL, McKinney S, Ellenbogen JM (2013). The subjective-objective mismatch in sleep perception among those with insomnia and sleep apnea. *Journal of Sleep Research* 22(5):557-568.
- Broderick JE, Gold MS, Amin MM, Gold AR (2014). The association of somatic arousal with the symptoms of upper airway resistance syndrome. *Sleep Medicine* 15(4):436-443.
- Choi SJ, Suh S, Ong J, Joo EY (2016). Sleep misperception in chronic insomnia patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: Implications for clinical assessment. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine: JCSM: Official publication of the American Academy Sleep Medicine* 12(11):1517-1525.
- Edwards BA, Eckert DJ, McSharry DG, Sands SA, Desai A, Kehlmann G, Bakker JP, Genta PR, Owens RL, White DP, Wellman A, Malhotra A (2014). Clinical predictors of the respiratory arousal threshold in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 190(11):1293-300.
- Fietze I, Quispe-Bravo S, Schiller W, Röttig J, Penzel T, Baumann G, Witt C (1999). Respiratory arousals in mild obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep* 22(5):583-589.
- Harvey AG, Tang NK (2012). (Mis)perception of sleep in insomnia: a puzzle and a resolution. *Psychological Bulletin* 138(1):77-101.
- Hermans LWA, Leufkens TR, Van Gilst MM, Weysen T, Ross M, Anderer P, Overeem S, Vermeeren A (2019). Sleep EEG characteristics associated with sleep onset misperception. *Sleep Medicine* 57:70-79.
- Khan Z, Bachan M, Hyatt S, Ghassibi J, Lund S, Freeman J (2009). Perception of total sleep time (TST) in subjects with various sleep disorders but normal rapid eye movement Sleep (REM). *Chest* 136(4):67S.
- Klem GH, Lüders HO, Jasper HH, Elger C (1999). The ten-twenty electrode system of the International Federation. *The International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* 52:3-6.
- Laranjeira CM, Barbosa ERF, Rabahi MF (2018). Is subjective sleep evaluation a good predictor for obstructive sleep apnea? *Clinics* 73:e355.
- Maes J, Verbraecken J, Willemsen M, Volder I, Van Gastel A, Michiels N, Verbeek I, Vandekerckhove M, Wuyts J, Haex B, Willemsen T, Exadaktylos V, Bulckaert A, Cluydts R (2014). Sleep misperception, EEG characteristics and Autonomic Nervous System activity in primary insomnia: A retrospective study on polysomnographic data. *International Journal of Psychophysiology* 91(3):163-171.
- Perlis ML, Giles DE, Mendelson WB, Bootzin, RR, Wyatt JK (1997). Psychophysiological insomnia: The behavioural model and a neurocognitive perspective. *Journal of Sleep Research* 6(3):179-188.
- Pinto LR, Pinto MCR, Goulart LI, Truksinas E, Rossi MV, Morin CM, Tufik S (2009). Sleep perception in insomniacs, sleep-disordered breathing patients, and healthy volunteers-an important biologic parameter of sleep. *Sleep Medicine* 10(8):865-868.
- Ratnavadivel R, Chau N, Stadler D, Yeo A, McEvoy RD, Catcheside PG (2009). Marked reduction in obstructive sleep apnea severity in slow wave sleep. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine* 5(06):519-524.
- Slightam C, Petrowski K, Jamison AL, Keller M, Bertram F, Kim S, Roth WT (2018). Assessing sleep quality using self-report and actigraphy in PTSD. *Journal of Sleep Research* 27(3):e12632.
- Takano K, Yannick B, Filip R (2016). I sleep with my mind's eye open: Cognitive arousal and overgeneralization underpin the misperception of sleep. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry* 52:157-165.
- Trajanovic NN, Radivojevic V, Kaushansky Y, Shapiro CM (2007). Positive sleep state misperception-A new concept of sleep misperception. *Sleep Medicine* 8(2):111-118.

Related Journals:

